

WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT COMMISSION

SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND

ORDER NO. 12,972

IN THE MATTER OF:

Served September 9, 2011

FIRST CHOICE HEALTH SERVICES LLC,)
WMATC No. 1210, Investigation of)
Violation of Article XI, Sections 5)
and 14, of the Compact and)
Commission Regulation Nos. 55,)
58, 60, 61, & 62)

Case No. MP-2011-075

JOSEPH K. NGWAFWA, Trading as FIRST)
CHOICE HEALTH SERVICES, WMATC)
No. 1210, Investigation of)
Violation of Article XI,)
Section 14, of the Compact, and)
Regulation No. 55)

Case No. MP-2011-076

FIRST CHOICE HEALTH SERVICES INC.,)
Investigation of Violation of)
Article XI, Sections 6 & 11, of the)
Compact)

Case No. MP-2011-077

The Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Regulation Compact,¹ (Compact), applies to: "the transportation for hire by any carrier of persons between any points in the Metropolitan District."² A person may not engage in transportation subject to the Compact unless there is in force a Certificate of Authority issued by the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Commission (WMATC) authorizing the person to engage in that transportation.³ "A person other than the person to whom an operating authority is issued by the Commission may not lease,

¹ Pub. L. No. 101-505, § 1, 104 Stat. 1300 (1990), amended by Pub. L. No. 111-160, 124 Stat. 1124 (2010) (amending tit. I, art. III).

² Compact, tit. II, art. XI, § 1. The Metropolitan District includes: the District of Columbia; the cities of Alexandria and Falls Church of the Commonwealth of Virginia; Arlington County and Fairfax County of the Commonwealth of Virginia, the political subdivisions located within those counties, and that portion of Loudoun County, Virginia, occupied by the Washington Dulles International Airport; Montgomery County and Prince George's County of the State of Maryland, and the political subdivisions located within those counties; and all other cities now or hereafter existing in Maryland or Virginia within the geographic area bounded by the outer boundaries of the combined area of those counties, cities, and airports. Compact, tit. I, art. II.

³ Compact, tit. II, art. XI, § 6(a).

rent, or otherwise use that operating authority."⁴ "Each authorized carrier shall: (a) provide safe and adequate transportation service, equipment, and facilities; and (b) observe and enforce Commission regulations established under [the Compact]."⁵

The Commission may investigate on its own motion a fact, condition, practice, or matter to determine whether a person has violated or will violate a provision of the Compact or a rule, regulation, or order.⁶ If the Commission finds that a respondent has violated a provision of the Compact or any requirement established under it, the Commission shall issue an order compelling compliance and effecting other just and reasonable relief.⁷

A person who knowingly and willfully violates a provision of the Compact, or a rule, regulation, requirement, or order issued under it, or a term or condition of a certificate shall be subject to a civil forfeiture of not more than \$1,000 for the first violation and not more than \$5,000 for any subsequent violation.⁸ Each day of the violation constitutes a separate violation.⁹

The Commission may suspend or revoke all or part of any certificate of authority for willful failure to comply with a provision of the Compact, an order, rule, or regulation of the Commission, or a term, condition, or limitation of the certificate.¹⁰

I. PURPOSE AND CONSOLIDATION

The investigation in Case No. MP-2011-075 is being initiated to address violations of tariff, insurance, annual report, and vehicle lease provisions in Article XI, Section 14, of the Compact and Commission Regulation Nos. 55, 58, 60, & 62, and to investigate possible violations of safety and vehicle marking provisions in Article XI, Section 5, of the Compact and Commission Regulation No. 61, by First Choice Health Services LLC, (First Choice LLC), WMATC Carrier No. 1210.

The investigation in Case No. MP-2011-076 is being initiated to address violations of the tariff provisions in Article XI, Section 14, of the Compact and Regulation No. 55 by Joseph K. Ngwafa, trading as First Choice Health Services.

The investigation in Case No. MP-2011-077 is being initiated to investigate possible unlawful operations by First Choice Health

⁴ Compact, tit. II, art. XI, § 11(b).

⁵ Compact, tit. II, art. XI, § 5.

⁶ Compact, tit. II, art. XIII, § 1(c).

⁷ Compact, tit. II, art. XIII, § 1(d).

⁸ Compact, tit. II, art. XIII, § 6(f).

⁹ Compact, tit. II, art. XIII, § 6(f)(ii).

¹⁰ Compact, tit. II, art. XI, § 10(c).

Services Inc., (First Choice Inc.) in violation of Article XI, Sections 6 & 11, of the Compact.

These investigations are being consolidated under Rule No. 20-02 because Mr. Ngwafa controls both First Choice LLC and First Choice Inc., and certain questions of fact, including the ultimate facts concerning which entity committed which violations, are common to all three proceedings.

II. BACKGROUND

Certificate of Authority No. 1210 was issued to Joseph K. Ngwafa, trading as First Choice Health Services, on June 23, 2006. On August 1, 2008, Mr. Ngwafa filed a contract tariff with the Commission pursuant to Commission Regulation Nos. 55 and 56. Attached to the contract tariff cover form was an incomplete copy of a "Medical Transportation Services Agreement" covering transportation services under the District of Columbia Medicaid program as managed by Medical Transportation Management, Inc. (MTM). Commission staff rejected Mr. Ngwafa's filing because the agreement copy was incomplete and because the agreement identified "First Choice Health Serices, a Maryland Corporation" as the passenger carrier, not Mr. Ngwafa trading as First Choice Health Services. Commission staff advised Mr. Ngwafa how the filing could be made acceptable, but Mr. Ngwafa did not respond.

Certificate of Authority No. 1210 was transferred to First Choice LLC on October 20, 2010. On November 8, 2010, Mr. Ngwafa filed a contract tariff with the Commission on behalf of First Choice LLC. Attached to the contract tariff cover form was an incomplete copy of an MTM "Medical Transportation Services Agreement". Commission staff rejected the filing because the agreement copy was incomplete. Furthermore, the agreement identified "First Choice Health Serices, a Maryland business" as the passenger carrier, not First Choice Health Services LLC. Commission staff advised Mr. Ngwafa how the filing could be made acceptable, but Mr. Ngwafa did not respond.

On April 27, 2011, Commission staff wrote to First Choice LLC, advising First Choice LLC that the Commission had been informed that First Choice LLC was furnishing non-emergency passenger transportation services under an agreement with MTM. Staff advised First Choice LLC that no tariff was on file with the Commission for that service as required by Article XI, Section 14(a), of the Compact and Commission Regulation No. 55-08.

First Choice LLC thereafter filed an MTM contract tariff on May 10, 2011. Staff rejected the tariff because the underlying contract was in the name of "First Choice Health Serices, a Maryland Corporation". Staff then discovered the existence of First Choice Inc., which had been formed by Mr. Ngwafa on February 13, 2009, for the purpose of providing "non emergency medical transportation." First Choice Inc. does not hold WMATC authority, and no application for WMATC operating authority appears to have ever been filed.

This in turn led staff to make three additional discoveries: (1) that First Choice LLC reported 14 vehicles to its insurance company but only four vehicles on its 2011 WMATC annual report; (2) that First Choice LLC operates un-owned vehicles without any leases on file with the Commission as required by Regulation No. 62; and (3) that some of the vehicles reported to First Choice LLC's insurance company are covered by multiple first-dollar liability policies¹¹ contrary to Regulation No. 58-02.

The foregoing implicates various violations of the Compact and Commission regulations by respondents as follows.

III. TARIFF VIOLATIONS

Under Title II, of the Compact, Article XI, Section 14(c), "A carrier may not charge a rate or fare for transportation subject to [the Compact] other than the applicable rate or fare specified in a tariff filed by the carrier under [the Compact] and in effect at the time."¹² Under Regulation No. 55, a carrier must file a general tariff if it offers standardized service at universally applicable rates.¹³ A carrier must file a contract tariff if it offers tailored service on a continuing basis at negotiated rates.¹⁴ "No carrier shall demand, receive, or collect any compensation for any transportation or transportation-related service, except such compensation as is specified in its currently effective tariff for the transportation or transportation-related service provided."¹⁵

After the three years of failed attempts chronicled above, Mr. Ngwafa finally filed an acceptable MTM contract tariff on May 25, 2011. From all of those filings, it is clear that beginning February 15, 2008,¹⁶ and continuing until May 25, 2011, Mr. Ngwafa furnished passenger carrier service under contract with MTM, as a sole proprietor

¹¹ First dollar coverage is an insurance policy feature that provides full coverage for the entire value of a loss without a deductible, subject to the policy maximum.

¹² See also Commission Regulation No. 55-02 ("[n]o carrier shall demand, receive, or collect any compensation for any transportation or transportation-related service, except such compensation as is specified in its currently effective tariff for the transportation or transportation-related service provided.")

¹³ Regulation No. 55-07; *In re Mobility Express Inc.*, No. MP-11-062, Order No. 12,906 at 7 (July 7, 2011); *In re Executive Tech. Solutions, LLC.*, No. MP-10-090, Order No. 12,798 at 3 (Apr. 8, 2011); *In re Transcom, Inc.*, No. MP-09-034, Order No. 11,865 at 2 (Feb. 27, 2009); *In re Washington, D.C. Jitney Ass'n, Inc.*, No. AP-95-26, Order No. 4795 at 4 (Mar. 15, 1996).

¹⁴ Regulation No. 55-08; Order No. 12,906 at 7-8; Order No. 12,798 at 3; Order No. 11,865 at 2; Order No. 4795 at 4.

¹⁵ Regulation No. 55-02.

¹⁶ February 15, 2008, is the effective date specified in the first MTM contract Mr. Ngwafa attempted to file in August 2008.

and/or through First Choice LLC and/or First Choice Inc., without a proper tariff on file with the Commission.

Respondents shall have 30 days to show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against respondents, and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for respondents' knowing and willful violation of and failure to comply with Article XI, Section 14(c), of the Compact and Commission Regulation No. 55-02.¹⁷

IV. ANNUAL REPORT VIOLATION

Commission Regulation No. 60-01 provides that each carrier holding a certificate of authority on the first day of the calendar year shall file an annual report on or before January 31 of that year. The Commission's annual report form requires each carrier to list all vehicles operated under WMATC authority as of the date of the report.

First Choice LLC filed a 2011 annual report on January 24, 2011, that fails to list 10 vehicles that First Choice LLC had already reported to its insurance company. (See Appendix). All 10 vehicles are registered in Maryland and 6 of those are registered for hire. In its 2010 WMATC transfer application,¹⁸ First Choice indicated it held no other authority under which its vehicles could be used to transport passengers for hire.

First Choice LLC shall have 30 days to show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against it, and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for First Choice LLC's knowing and willful violation of and failure to comply with Commission Regulation No. 60-01.¹⁹

V. INSURANCE VIOLATION

Regulation No. 58-02 provides that:

A carrier shall obtain one or more insurance policies securing the public against loss resulting from the carrier's operation, maintenance, or use of a motor vehicle, in the minimum amount specified in this section. Coverage shall remain in effect continuously until terminated. In the case of vehicles [operated under WMATC authority], tiered or layered coverage shall be

¹⁷ See *In re Chika Transport Serv., Inc.*, No. MP-02-124, Order No. 7173 (May 7, 2003) (assessing \$250 for each one-year contract tariff not timely filed).

¹⁸ *In re First Choice Health Servs. LLC*, No. AP-10-015, Order No. 12,340 (Mar. 24, 2010).

¹⁹ See *In re Executive Tech. Solutions, LLC*, FC-07-03, Order No. 11,680 (Nov. 12, 2008) (assessing \$250 civil forfeiture for failing to report vehicles on annual report); *In re Executive Coach, Ltd.*, No. AP-02-75, Order No. 6797 (Sept. 3, 2002) (assessing \$200 civil forfeiture for filing false annual report).

permitted, provided that not more than one policy may be obtained for any one tier or layer.

The WMATC Insurance Endorsement on file with the Commission for First Choice LLC indicates that the underlying primary policy was issued by Knightbrook Insurance Company. According to Maryland MVA records, 5 of the 14 vehicles listed on the Knightbrook policy are covered by other primary policies. Three vehicles are covered by a policy issued by Allstate Insurance Company; two are covered by a policy issued by State Farm Mutual Auto. (See Appendix).

First Choice LLC shall have 30 days to submit proof that all duplicative policies have been canceled and that the Knightbrook policy has been reported to the Maryland MVA for all vehicles operated by First Choice LLC²⁰ or show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against First Choice LLC, and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for First Choice LLC's knowing and willful violation of and failure to comply with this order.

In addition, First Choice LLC shall have 30 days to show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against it, and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for First Choice LLC's knowing and willful violation of and failure to comply with Commission Regulation No. 58-02.²¹

VI. LEASE VIOLATIONS

Regulation No. 62-02 states in pertinent part that: "No carrier subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission may charter, rent, borrow, lease, or otherwise operate in revenue service any motor vehicle to which such carrier does not hold title, except in accordance with this regulation."

Respondent's vehicles are registered in four similar but separate variations of its name: (1) "Fisrft Choice Health Svcs LLC"; (2) "First Choice Health Svcs LLC"; (3) "First Choice Health Services"; and (4) "First Choice Health Services lngwafa". (See Appendix). Each of these variations is associated with a different Soundex number. A Soundex number is a unique identifying number assigned to a company by the Maryland MVA, analogous to a Social Security number or driver's license number. There should be one, and only one, for First Choice LLC.

It would appear that First Choice LLC is operating vehicles under Certificate No. 1210 that are not titled in First Choice LLC's name. The Commission has no record of any leases having been filed by First Choice LLC for those vehicles.

²⁰ See *In re Americare Med. Transp., Inc.*, No. MP-05-37, Order No. 8621 (Apr. 1, 2005) (same).

²¹ See *In re Emanco Transp. Inc.*, No. MP-07-245, Order No. 11,413 (June 13, 2008) (assessing \$750 forfeiture for violation of Regulation No. 58).

First Choice LLC shall have 30 days to show cause why those vehicles should not be ordered out of service, and/or why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against First Choice LLC, and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for First Choice LLC's knowing and willful violation of and failure to comply with Commission Regulation No. 62-02.²²

VII. VEHICLE MARKING AND SAFETY VIOLATIONS

As noted above, Article XI, Section 5(a), of the Compact states that "[e]ach authorized carrier shall provide safe and adequate transportation service, equipment, and facilities." Local motor vehicle laws require a safety inspection as part of the for-hire vehicle registration and registration renewal process.²³ Operation of a vehicle with an expired, invalid, or missing safety inspection sticker violates Article XI, Section 5(a).²⁴ Such a vehicle is presumptively unsafe.²⁵

Under Regulation No. 61, each vehicle operated under a WMATC certificate of authority must display carrier identification markings. The markings required by Regulation No. 61 help assign responsibility and facilitate recovery of compensation for damage and injuries caused by carriers operating under WMATC authority.²⁶

First Choice LLC shall have 15 days to file a vehicle list, present its revenue vehicles for inspection, and file copies of the registrations and safety inspection certificates for said vehicles or show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against First Choice LLC, and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for First Choice LLC's knowing and willful violation of and failure to comply with Article XI, Section 5(a), of the Compact, Commission Regulation No. 61, and this order.²⁷

²² See *In re C.P.R. Med. Transp. LLC*, No. MP-10-053, Order No. 12,872 (June 1, 2011) (assessing \$250 forfeiture for violation of Regulation No. 62).

²³ See e.g., www.marylandmva.com/AboutMVA/INFO/27300/27300-26T.htm; 18 DCMR 413.10, 421.2.

²⁴ *In re Executive Tech. Solutions, LLC*, No. MP-10-090 Order No. 12,601 (Oct. 26, 2010); *In re Paramed Med. Transp., Inc., t/a Para-Med*, No. MP-10-015 Order No. 12,326 (Mar. 5, 2010); *In re Cmty. Multi-Servs., Inc.*, No. MP-10-008 Order No. 12,301 (Feb. 2, 2010); *In re VOCA Corp. of Wash., D.C.*, No. MP-02-30, Order No. 7258 (June 20, 2003); *In re Junior's Enters., Inc.*, No. MP-01-103, Order No. 6549 (Feb. 21, 2002); *In re Safe Transp., Inc.*, No. MP-96-15, Order No. 4849 (May 17, 1996).

²⁵ Order No. 12,601; Order No. 12,326, Order No. 12,301; Order No. 7258; Order No. 6549; Order No. 4849.

²⁶ Order No. 12,601; Order No. 12,326; Order No. 12,301.

²⁷ See *In re Emanco Transp. Inc*, No. MP-07-245, Order No. 11,751 (Dec. 16, 2008) (assessing \$500 forfeiture for operating unsafe vehicle).

VIII. UNLAWFUL OPERATIONS

As noted above, a person may not engage in transportation subject to the Compact unless there is in force a Certificate of Authority issued by the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Commission (WMATC) authorizing the person to engage in that transportation.²⁸ "A person other than the person to whom an operating authority is issued by the Commission may not lease, rent, or otherwise use that operating authority."²⁹

Also as noted above, Mr. Ngwafa formed First Choice Inc. on February 13, 2009, for the purpose of providing "non emergency medical transportation." First Choice Inc. does not hold WMATC authority, and no application for WMATC operating authority appears to have ever been filed. The existence of this Maryland corporation and Mr. Ngwafa's attempts to file MTM contract tariffs naming "First Choice Health Services, a Maryland Corporation" as the passenger carrier, raises the possibility that First Choice Inc. may have operated under color of Certificate No. 1210 in violation of Article XI, Section 11(b), of the Compact.

The Compact provides that: "The Commission shall have access at all times to the accounts, records, memoranda, lands, buildings, and equipment of any carrier for inspection purposes."³⁰ The Commission also shall have such access with respect "to any person controlling, controlled by, or under common control with a carrier subject to the Compact, whether or not that person otherwise is subject to the Compact."³¹

First Choice Inc shall have 30 days to produce any and all records relating to its operations since formation in 2009, including but not limited to bank records, vehicle records, payroll records, credit card records, invoices, and correspondence, or show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against First Choice Inc., and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for First Choice Inc.'s knowing and willful violation of and failure to comply with Article XI, Sections 6(a) and 11(b), of the Compact.

Respondents will be directed to file within 30 days proof of dissolution of First Choice Inc. or a statement explaining why not requiring dissolution would be consistent with the public interest.³²

²⁸ Compact, tit. II, art. XI, § 6(a).

²⁹ Compact, tit. II, art. XI, § 11(b).

³⁰ Compact, tit. II, art. XII, § 1(b).

³¹ Compact, tit. II, art. XII, § 1(c).

³² See *In re Capital Care, Inc.*, No. AP-06-134, Order No. 9796 (Aug. 4, 2006) (applicant ordered to file proof of dissolution of similarly named entity under common control with applicant or explain why not requiring dissolution was consistent with the public interest); *In re Pacific Health and Transp. Servs., Inc.*, No. AP-05-06, Order No. 8547 (Feb. 10, 2005) (same).

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

1. That investigations of respondents' operations in the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit District are hereby initiated under Article XIII, Section 1, of the Compact.

2. That these proceedings are hereby consolidated pursuant to Commission Rule No. 20-02.

3. That respondents shall have 30 days to show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against respondents, and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for respondents' knowing and willful violation of and failure to comply with Article XI, Section 14(c), of the Compact and Commission Regulation No. 55-02.

4. That First Choice LLC shall have 30 days to show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against it, and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for First Choice LLC's knowing and willful violation of and failure to comply with Commission Regulation No. 60-01.

5. That First Choice LLC shall have 30 days to submit proof that all duplicative policies have been canceled and that the Knightbrook policy has been reported to the Maryland MVA for all vehicles operated by First Choice LLC or show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against it, and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for First Choice LLC's knowing and willful violation of and failure to comply with this order.

6. That First Choice LLC shall have 30 days to show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against it, and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for First Choice LLC's knowing and willful violation of and failure to comply with Commission Regulation No. 58-02.

7. That First Choice LLC shall have 30 days to show cause why its un-owned vehicles should not be ordered out of service, and/or why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against First Choice LLC, and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for First Choice LLC's knowing and willful violation of and failure to comply with Commission Regulation No. 62-02.

8. That First Choice LLC shall have 15 days to file a vehicle list, present its revenue vehicles for inspection, and file copies of the registrations and safety inspection certificates for said vehicles or show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against First Choice LLC, and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for First Choice LLC's knowing and willful

violation of and failure to comply with Article XI, Section 5(a), of the Compact, Commission Regulation No. 61, and this order.

9. That First Choice Inc shall have 30 days to produce any and all records relating to its operations since formation in 2009, including but not limited to bank records, vehicle records, payroll records, credit card records, invoices, and correspondence, or show cause why a civil forfeiture should not be assessed against First Choice Inc., and/or why Certificate No. 1210 should not be suspended or revoked, for First Choice Inc.'s knowing and willful violation of and failure to comply with Article XI, Sections 6(a) and 11(b), of the Compact.

10. That respondents shall have 30 days to submit proof of dissolution of First Choice Inc. or a statement explaining why not requiring dissolution would be consistent with the public interest.

11. That respondents may file within 15 days from the date of this order a request for oral hearing, specifying the grounds for the request, describing the evidence to be adduced, and explaining why such evidence cannot be adduced without an oral hearing.

BY DIRECTION OF THE COMMISSION; COMMISSIONERS BRENNER AND HOLCOMB:



William S. Morrow, Jr.
Executive Director

Appendix to Order No. 12,972

Vehicles on 2011 Annual Report of First Choice Health Services LLC

VIN	Model Year	Make	Plate	State	Capacity
1FBSS31L66HA31863	2006	Ford	48594B	DC	7
2B1WF52E459122977	2005	Chevrolet	48591B	DC	5
2G1WF52E139400506	2003	Chevrolet	48593B	DC	5
2C4GP54L95R212565	2005	Chrysler	47451B	MD	6

Vehicles on Insurance Policy of First Choice Health Services LLC

No	VIN	Year	Make	Plate	State	Registrant	Insured by
1	2G1WF52E459122977	2005	Chevy	48591B	MD	FIRST CHOICE HEALTH SVCS LLC	Allstate
2	2G1WF52E139400506	2003	Chevy	48593B	MD	FIRST CHOICE HEALTH SVCS LLC	Allstate
3	2FMZA51472BB49666	2002	FORD	9AB7353	MD	First Choice Health Services	Knightbrook
4	2C4GP54L95R212565	2005	CHRYSLER	47451B	MD	First Choice Health Services	Knightbrook
5	2B4HB15Y82K125988	2002	DODGE	46054B	MD	First Choice Health Services	Knightbrook
6	1GCHG39R9X1147259	1999	CHEVY	48561B	MD	First Choice Health Services	Knightbrook
7	1FTNE24W64HA02618	2004	FORD	48592B	MD	First Choice Health Services	Knightbrook
8	1FDSE35P24HA48829	2004	FORD	50133B	MD	First Choice Health Svcs	State Farm

						LLC	
9	1FDSE35L64HA15510	2004	FORD	50134B	MD	First Choice Health Svcs LLC	State Farm
10	1FBSS31L66HA31863	2006	FORD	48594B	MD	Fisrt Choice Health Svcs LLC	Allstate
11	1FBSS31F2XHC16200	1999	FORD	48552B	MD	First Choice Health Services	Knightbrook
12	1FBNE31L83HA85715	2003	FORD	1AB0245	MD	First Choice Health Services	Knightbrook
13	1D4GP45R44B512205	2004	DODGE	1AB0246	MD	First Choice Health Services	Knightbrook
14	1FBNE31L48DB14867	2008	FORD	5AG9028	MD	First Choice Health Services Lngwafa	Knightbrook