

WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT COMMISSION

SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND

ORDER NO. 15,747

IN THE MATTER OF:

Served July 17, 2015

ANTHONY PA, Trading as LIMO PRIMO)
SERVICES, Suspension and)
Investigation of Revocation of)
Certificate No. 2484)

Case No. MP-2014-127

This matter is before the Commission on the failure of respondent to respond to Order No. 15,263, served December 30, 2014.

I. BACKGROUND

Certificate No. 2484 was automatically suspended on August 17, 2014, pursuant to Regulation No. 58-12, when the \$1.5 million primary WMATC Insurance Endorsement on file for respondent terminated without replacement. Order No. 14,999, served August 18, 2014, noted the automatic suspension of Certificate No. 2484, directed respondent to cease transporting passengers for hire under Certificate No. 2484, and gave respondent 30 days to replace the terminated endorsement and pay the \$100 late fee due under Regulation No. 67-03(c) or face revocation of Certificate No. 2484.

Respondent failed to respond, and Certificate No. 2484 was revoked on October 28, 2014, in Order No. 15,163. The certificate was later reinstated on December 30, 2014, in Order No. 15,263, following respondent's request for reconsideration on November 10, 2014, which was supported by the necessary WMATC Insurance Endorsement and payment of the \$100 late fee.

However, because the effective date of respondent's replacement WMATC Endorsement is November 7, 2014, instead of August 17, 2014, the reinstatement order gave respondent 30 days to submit a statement verifying cessation of operations as of August 17, 2014, and produce copies of respondent's pertinent business records, as required by Regulation No. 58-14(a).

Respondent has yet to respond.

In the meantime, Certificate No. 2484 was revoked once again, in an unrelated proceeding, for respondent's willful failure to maintain compliance with the Commission's insurance requirements.¹

¹ *In re Anthony Pa, t/a Limo Primo Servs.*, No. MP-15-032, Order No. 15,493 (Apr. 9, 2015).

II. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

In accordance with Regulation No. 58-14(b), respondent shall have 30 days to show cause why the Commission should not assess a civil forfeiture against respondent for failing to produce documents as directed.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

1. That respondent shall have 30 days to show cause why the Commission should not assess a civil forfeiture against respondent for knowingly and willfully violating Regulation No. 58-14(a) and Order No. 15,263.

2. That respondent may submit within 15 days from the date of this order a written request for oral hearing, specifying the grounds for the request, describing the evidence to be adduced and explaining why such evidence cannot be adduced without an oral hearing.

FOR THE COMMISSION:



William S. Morrow, Jr.
Executive Director